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Cardiac injury and COVID-19: A Review on available present-day literature
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ABSTRACT

What started as a small cluster of viral pneumonia like cases in a province of China, in the early weeks of December, has blown out to be a full-fledged pandemic virtually affecting every continent. PubMed and Google search engines were used for literature search. The case fatality rate which is around 2.6% for corona virus disease (COVID-19) patient’s rise to nearly 10.6% in COVID-19 patients with prior cardio vascular disease. Common cardiac manifestations include heart failure and myocardial damage. Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE2) plays an important part in the pathophysiology of heart function in hypertension and diabetes, two of the most common manifestations of heart failure and is the cellular entry point for the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) virus. Patients with cardio vascular disease are believed to have increased secretion of ACE2 compared to healthy individuals and thus are at higher risk. Patients on medications with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEi) /angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) have increased ACE2 levels and may be at an increased risk, however there is no statistically significant data to substantiate this claim.
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Key points
1. Heart failure is defined as a complex clinical syndrome that results from any structural or functional impairment of ventricular filling or ejection of blood by the American heart association
2. COVID-19 is a pandemic caused by SARS-CoV-2 and very similar to bat corona virus.
3. ACE2 serve as a host for SARS-CoV-2. ACE2 is highly expressed in pericytes of adult human heart.
4. Patients with heart failure exhibited increased expression of ACE2 and thus are at risk of cardiac manifestation and injury
5. Among the cardiac patients, heart failure, atrial fibrillation (AF), coronary artery disease (CAD), and chronic kidney disease (CKD) were present in 40, 36, 30, and 28% of the patients, respectively.
6. Case fatality rate was elevated among those with pre-existing comorbid conditions—10.5% for cardiovascular disease, 7.3% for diabetes, 6.3% for chronic respiratory disease and 6.0% for hypertension.
7. Heart failure was the most common cause of death after acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and sepsis.
8. Creatine kinase (CK), CK-MB, lactate dehydrogenase, aspartate transferase, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate were significantly associated with the severity of hypokalaemia.
10. ACE2 is upregulated in patients with cardiovascular comorbidities like diabetes and hypertension, and patients on treatment with ACE inhibitors or ARB.
11. There is no urgent need to discontinue ACEIs or ARBs in COVID-19 patients.

INTRODUCTION

Heart diseases have been documented in “Die Cellularpthologie” in 1858 by Rudolf Virchow (1). Heart failure is defined as a complex clinical syndrome that results from any structural or functional impairment of ventricular filling or ejection of blood by the American Heart Association. This in turn leads to classical symptoms and signs of heart failure i.e. dyspnoea, fatigue, oedema, rales respectively. It is further classified into (i) Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), defined as an ejection fraction <40%, whereas (ii) Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFrEF) is defined as an ejection fraction ≥50%. Patients with an ejection fraction between this range are considered to have border line HFrEF. Coronary artery disease, hypertension and diabetes mellitus are the most common risk factors associated with heart failure (2).

In the later weeks of December 2019, a surge of viral pneumonia cases was seen in Wuhan province of china. Gradually over a period of six months it spread to all continents. It was a beta corona virus with enveloped non segmented positive sense RNA. It has been named as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Corona virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) viruses by the International Taxonomy group. It is called Corona virus Disease 2019(COVID-19) by the World Health Organization (WHO). Earlier epidemics of corona virus include the severe acute respiratory syndrome corona virus (SARS-CoV) (3) from China and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome corona virus (MERS-CoV) (4) from Saudi Arabia. SARS-CoV-2 shares about 80% of its genomic identity with that of SARS-CoV and is about 96% identical to the bat...
corona virus Bat-CoV RaTG13 (5). It is one of the largest viruses with a size from 27-34 kilobase. It is a zoonotic infection and affects the respiratory system more commonly in humans.

Epidemiology

Several cases of probable pneumonia were reported from Wuhan, China in the early weeks of December 2019. It was declared a public health emergency of international concern on January 30th, and a pandemic on 11th March 2020. WHO report of 16th August 2020(Situation Report 209) confirmed 21294845 cases with 761779 death, from nearly 213 countries (6). The number of cases in the 10 most affected countries (with approximate number of cases) are USA (5258565), Brazil (3275520), India (2589682), Russia (922853), South Africa (583653), Peru (516296), Mexico (511369), Colombia (445111), Chile (383902) and Spain (342813), as per Corona virus (COVID-19) situation Report 209 (6).

Clinical presentation

SARS-CoV-2 predominantly spreads through respiratory droplets, but can spread through aerosols and stools as well. Viral transmission may occur from symptomatic as well as asymptomatic patients. Secondary infection rates range from 0.5% to 5%. Reproductive number (R0) for the virus is around 2.2(7). Median incubation period is 4 to 5 days. Common symptoms include fever, dry cough, and myalgia, fatigue and diarrhoea (7; Chart1).

Risk factors and COVID-19

COVID-19 has been found to be associated with respiratory symptoms predominantly, however it has now been seen that it involves multiple organs including the heart and can present with non-respiratory symptoms (8-13). Studies by Wu et al., Yang et al., and Arentz et al., have showed that patients with cardiovascular co-morbidities are at a higher risk for severe infection (8-10). Mehra et al., have shown that underlying cardiovascular disease is associated with an increased risk of in-hospital death among patients hospitalized with COVID-19(14) (Chart 2). Refractory COVID-19 patients had more underlying co-morbidities like diabetes and cardiovascular disease (15).

Among the cardiac patients, heart failure, atrial fibrillation (AF), coronary artery disease (CAD), and CKD were present in 40, 36, 30, and 28% of the patients (Chart 3). A comparison between different studies is shown in chart 4. Case fatality rate of patients with cardio vascular complications

Chart 1: Symptoms of COVID-19

Chart 2: Clinical Profile: Mehra et al., (n=8910)
was 10.5% for cardiovascular disease, 7.3% for diabetes, 6.3% for chronic respiratory disease and 6.0% for hypertension as per data obtained from the Chinese centre for disease control and prevention (16, 17).

Women have stronger innate and adaptive immunity and greater resistance to viral infections than men as has been seen in the previous infection by SARS CoV-1(17). Thus, they are believed to survive the epidemic for longer than men.

Studies by Arentz et al., (12) showed that heart failure was present in 43% of cases in intensive care unit (ICU). An important observation done by Chaomin Win et al showed that the incidence of hypertension (27.4 vs 13.7), diabetes (16 vs 6) and cardiac disorder (5 vs 3) was higher in patients with ARDS vs non ARDS patients, due to COVID-19. Among the patients having ARDS, incidence of hypertension (17.5 vs 36.4), diabetes (12.5 vs 25) and cardiac disease (2.5 vs 9.1) among the survivor’s versus non-survivors (18).

**Patho-physicsology of myocardial injury**

Myocardial injury presentation may be asymptomatic or symptomatic (characterized by positive troponin levels). Troponin levels above the 99th percentile upper reference limit is considered to be positive. Higher levels are seen in patients of COVID-19 especially patients who are critically ill (Chart 5). Hung et al showed that highly sensitive cardiac troponin I was elevated in 4/13 of the ICU patients and 1/28 in the non-ICU patients. The levels increased rapidly from day 16 after disease onset (20). D-dimmer levels have also been present at a higher level.

Median high sensitivity troponin I level at 4 days of symptom onset was 8pg/mL in non-survivors versus 2.5pg/ml in survivors. The levels in survivors did not rise significantly i.e. 2.5 to 4.4pg/mL only. However, in case of non-survivors it was found to be as high as 24.7pg/mL on day 7, 55.7 pg/mL on day 13, 134.5 pg/mL on day 19 and 290.6 pg/mL on day 22. Median time of death from symptom onset was found to be 18.5 days (range 15 to 20 days). Thus, rising troponin levels along with increased levels of other markers of inflammation such as D-dimmer, interleukin 6 (IL-6), ferritin, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) suggests the possibility of cytokine storm or
secondary hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. Patients presenting with cardiac symptoms are at increased risk of isolated myocardial injury, potentially stress cardiomyopathy or viral myocarditis (21). A case report presented by Hu et al., showed a man presenting with chest pain and ST segment elevation on electrocardiogram (ECG), left ventricular dysfunction was seen on echocardiogram (ECHO; EF 27%) and elevated cardiac biomarkers. After treatment with intravenous immunoglobulin and steroids EF improved to 66% and biomarkers level normalized (21).

Patients may present with myocardial ischemia, myocarditis, palpitation, breathlessness and even acute heart failure. Heart failure presents with higher rates of mortality as per various studies. Heart failure was the most common cause of death after ARDS, sepsis according to Chen T et al (22). Zhou et al studies showed that 23% of the patients and 52% of the non survivors have heart failure as the complication. (20). Heart failure is one of the major complications in COVID-19 (23). Few patients of COVID-19 have presented with myocarditis and these patients presented with hypotension and low cardiac output even requiring inotropic supports. On cardiac imaging oedema and diffuse ventricular wall thickening were seen while the biopsy showed inflammatory attributes (24). Myocardial injury occurs not only due to action of the virus per se, but also host of other causes are involved in the pathogenesis. (25). Mediators of atherosclerosis like pro-inflammatory cytokine are responsible for plaque rupture through the inflammatory cascade involving local inflammation, pro coagulant factor stimulation and hemodynamic changes which further lead to ischemia and thrombosis (26).

SARS is a lower respiratory tract disease (27). The point of entry for the SARS-CoV-2 is believed to be via the ACE2 receptors in the lungs and endothelium. ACE2 protein is found in arterial and venous endothelial cells and arterial smooth muscle cells in all of the organs like lungs, small intestine, stomach, colon, brain, kidney, nasal and oral mucosa, nasopharynx, skin, muscles around sebaceous glands, basal cell layer of hair follicles and eccrine glands (28, 29). Marked presence of ACE2 was seen in type I and type II alveolar epithelial cells in lungs, small intestine and endothelium which thus serve as a point of entry. The levels of expression of ACE2 in the heart was found to be higher than that in lungs but was comparatively lower than that of intestine and kidney. The reason for increased susceptibility of heart to SARS-CoV-2 could be blamed to higher levels of expression of ACE2 in pericytes of the heart. Patients with heart failure exhibited increased expression of ACE2 and thus are at risk of cardiac manifestation and injury due to the infection (30).

Different organ involvement may also be attributed to the vascular spread of virus. The vascular endothelium is a “hub” for glandular functions like paracrine/endocrine and autocrine features which are important to maintain vascular homeostasis. The viral elements lie within the endothelial cells resulting in inflammatory cell death and thus facilitating the induction of endotheliitis in several organs and host inflammatory response. Endothelial dysfunction shifts the balance towards vasoconstriction resulting in organ ischemia, inflammation with oedema and a pro-coagulant state. It is also important to note that prolonged bed rest may predispose to thromboembolic events (31).

COVID-19 infection results in stimulation of the inflammatory cascade. Increased mediators of inflammation are produced which results in elevation of body temperature manifested clinically by fever. This results in sympathetic activation resulting in tachycardia, increased myocardial oxygen consumption and energy expenditure. This results in increased oxidative stress resulting in formation of
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reactive oxygen species (ROS) leading to acidosis and more commonly mitochondrial damage and less commonly cell death (32).

The pathogenesis is similar to other SARS infection wherein the viral ribonucleic protein (RNA) binds to pattern recognition receptors, the Toll like receptors (TLR) 3, 7, 8, 9 leading to stimulation of the inflammatory cascade resulting in activation of nuclear factor κβ (NF-κβ) and interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3). This leads to production of proinflammatory molecules like Interferon α, Interferon β, Interleukins IL 1, IL2, IL4, IL6 (the chief among all), IL10, IL12, IFN γ and TNFα (Fig. 1). The resultant effects are increased vascular permeability, alveolar epithelial damage leading to ARDS and multiorgan damage (33).

Several mechanisms have been proposed about myocardial damage. Immune dysfunction involving the T cell and immune signal dysfunction adversely affects the body’s response to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Increased expression of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines especially in the severe cases, the consumption of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, and the decrease of regulatory T cells, might result in increased inflammatory responses, production of cytokine storm and make the already damaged tissue even worse (Fig. 1). This was corroborated by the change in laboratory parameters, i.e. elevated chemokine levels, increased NLR and serum cytokine in infected patients. It also correlated with prognosis and outcome, suggesting a possible role for hyperinflammatory responses in COVID-19 pathogenesis (33).

![Fig. 1: Pathogenesis cascade](image)

Patients with cardio-vascular co-morbidities like hypertension, diabetes have ineffective adaptation to the increased demand of severe viral illness and decreased systemic oxygenation during the infection (34). This leads to demand-supply imbalance and can result in acute myocardial injury. Increased coronary blood flow can precipitate plaque rupture due to increased shear stress and result in acute myocardial infarction. It can also be precipitated by systemic inflammation as it causes a pro-thrombotic situation (34).

Electrolyte imbalance has been associated with many critical systemic illnesses and can precipitate arrhythmias especially in patients with cardiac disorder. It has been noted that patients with COVID-19 were more prone to hypokalaemia and that creatine kinase (CK), CK-MB, lactate dehydrogenase, aspartate transferase, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate were significantly associated with the severity of hypokalaemia (P<0.01). Collectively, elevation in these values were generally related to myocardial injury. Also, hypokalaemia was most commonly (93%) seen in severe and critically ill patients and is attributed more to renal loss in comparison to gastrointestinal loss (35).

Previous SARS ancestors were associated with significant cardiac morbidity and mortality (36). Elevated levels of troponin I, NT-proBNP, hs-CRP
(and also the markers of cardiac injury) correlate with severity of disease. These were also found to be higher among the non-survivors (37). Down regulation of ACE2 impedes the cardio protective effect of angiotensin1-7 thus leading to TNF α over production. Thus, these inflammatory responses may lead to myocardial damage further resulting in cardiac complications like heart failure, age related cardiomyopathy and cardiac dysfunction and thus supporting the claim of severe inflammatory response as a possible mediator of cardiomyocyte damage (38). Along with TNF α, SARS virus is believed to induce interstitial fibrosis development in the myocardium through activation of SMAD pathway (similar to development of lung fibrosis) via activation of TGF β. This could also potentially be a mode of cardiac damage. Exaggerated cytokine response by Type 1 and Type 2 helper T cells in additional to strong interferon mediated response could also result in myocardial dysfunction (39).

Thus, due to significant resemblance of SARS-CoV infection with COVID-19, possible mechanisms of cardiac injury could include direct damage to cardiomyocyte, severe inflammation, myocardial interstitial fibrosis, interferon mediated immune response, exaggerated cytokine response by Type 1 and 2 helper T cells, coronary plaque destabilization and hypoxia (39).

The role of ACE2

ACE2 is an enzyme involved in RAAS pathway. It is a type 1 membrane protein which is primarily involved in the maturation of angiotensin, a peptide hormone that controls vasoconstriction and blood pressure. It is expressed in lungs, heart, kidneys and intestine. It has been noted that decreased expression of ACE2 is associated with cardiovascular diseases. The N terminal PD of ACE2 cleaves Ang I to produce Ang (1-7). ACE2 can directly process Ang II to give Ang (1-7; 40).

Fig. 2: ACE and ARBs

Even though it shares a 42% similarity to ACE, it cannot convert angiotensin I to angiotensin II. Angiotensin II has antagonistic actions to Ang (1 - 7). Contrary to the former, the later has vasodilatory, anti- fibrotic and anti-hypertensive effect (via Mas receptor stimulation; Fig. 2). Ace2 can also convert Angiotensin I to Angiotensin 1-9 (Fig. 2). Ace2 is antagonistic to angiotensin II with vasodilatory, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and anti-fibrotic effects. In animal studies, downregulation of ACE2 results in left ventricular systolic dysfunction and HFrEF. Up regulation in animal studies have resulted in reduction of reactive oxidative stress, fibrosis, and myocardial hypertrophy. It is also believed to play a role in HF with preserved ejection fraction (42). In addition to its cardio protective action, it also has immune protective actions as it favours inflammation by its direct action on macrophages and indirect action by angiotensin II reduction.

ACE2 is upregulated in patients with cardiovascular co morbidities like diabetes and hypertension, and patients on treatment with ACE inhibitors or ARB. (43) Studies by Ziesman et al., have shown the up regulation of ACE2 not only in blood (elevation of Plasma ACE2 levels) but also in cardiac tissue in patients with severe heart failure (44). Studies have
showed that patients with higher concentrations of ACE2 were more often men, and that they were more likely to have cardiac complications like atrial fibrillation, diabetes, a higher heart rate, and a lower systolic blood pressure (45). Studies by Wu et al., and Sama et al., confirmed the same (46). Thus, increased ACE2 in patients with cardiac complication increases their susceptibility as well infectivity to SARS-CoV-2 (94-98). However increased susceptibility of patients on ACEi/ARBs to COVID-19 has not been proven.

Wrapp et al., showed the biophysical and structural evidence that the 2019-nCoV S protein binds angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) with higher affinity than does severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-CoV S protein (47). The SARS-CoV-2 Receptor Binding Motif contains structural changes in the ACE2 binding ridge largely caused by a four-residue motif. This allows the ridge to be more compact and forms better contact with N terminal helix of ACE2 in comparison to SARS-CoV. Another structural advantage over its predecessor is the presence of furin cleavage site which increases its infectivity potential. The spike glycoprotein S of the virus is cleaved into S1 and S2. Binding of ACE2 occurs at S1 subunit of the virus. Once binding is complete, there is fusion of the membrane and invagination of the virus into the host cell. The spike protein also causes activation of ADAM-17/TACE, which cleaves and releases ACE2, endocytosis of the ligand/receptor complex followed by intracellular digestion resulting in downregulation of ACE2.

ACEi/ARB treatment and COVID-19

Numerous studies are being conducted in order to establish the overall effect of ACEi/ARBs in COVID-19. Studies have shown that the levels of ACE2 are higher in patients on ACEi/ARB treatment, thus increasing their susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 and that patients on ACEi/ARBs, have decreased effect of Angiotensin II via AT1 receptors. Reports support the fact that there is no need to stop these medications in patients with COVID-19 (48). There has been an inconsistent effect of ACEi/ARBs on the levels of plasma ACE2. None of the studies have been able to demonstrate effects of ACEi/ARBs on lung specific ACE2 (as mode of entry for the virus is respiratory epithelium). Also, most of the patients with cardiac complications have been on beta blockers which itself has a negative effect on ACE2 activity.

Studies by Zhang et al., showed that patients who received ACEi/ARBs had a lower risk of COVID-19 infection (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.37 [95% CI, 0.15–0.89]; P=0.03) and lower rate of mortality (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.30 [95% CI, 0.12–0.70]; P=0.01) in patients with COVID-19 and coexisting hypertension. No increased risk of in-hospital death was found to be associated with the use of ACE inhibitors as per Mehra et al., (14). A population-based case control study from Italy also concluded that ACEi/ARBs were not an independent predictor of SARS-CoV-2 or the severity of the infection. Similar results were obtained from Inciardi et al study as well (16).

Heart transplantation and COVID-19

A case study by Li and Dong described two heart transplant recipients during this COVID-19 period. One presented with mild disease and the other had severe symptoms requiring oxygenation. Computerized tomography (CT) of lung showed bilateral ground glass opacities in a peripheral distribution. Both were treated with antibiotic and antiviral agents and managed to survive. The patient with severe symptoms required stoppage of immunosuppressive medication followed by treatment with methyl prednisolone and intravenous immunoglobulin. A study by Ren et al., in 87 heart transplants recipients showed recipients who followed strict precautionary measures had an uneventful post-transplant period (49).

People with cardiovascular risk factors like hypertension, diabetes, heart failure are at a higher risk of infection and increased severity of corona virus disease manifestations. Cardiac injury can be measured with the help of biomarkers like troponins, NT-proBNP and hs-CRP and so it is importance to check these values in patients who are at increased risk or are having severe symptoms as it can predispose the patients to complications e.g. arrhythmias, heart failure or even shock (50).

CONCLUSION

There is a higher risk of co morbidity in COVID-19 infection. Role of ACEi/ARB in SARS-CoV-2 are not known in great detail. However, the available literature doesn’t advise the discontinuation of these drugs in the patients and there is a subtle hint that these drugs may be beneficial in these patients. It is very important to prevent complications of cardiac injury and it must be recognized at an earlier stage itself. Increased complications result in increased duration of hospital stay, decreased quality of life, increased ambulatory care and no doubt a bigger financial burden not only for oneself but on the community as well.
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